Approved For Releas 2003/07/30: CIA-RDP80R01731R003400070030

File Colony

STAT

MEMORANDOM POR: descussed Oct ?

SUBJECT:

CIA Staff Intelligence Status Report, 1 Oct 1948

REFERENCE:

CIA Staff Intelligence Status Report, 1 Oct 1948

1. You tell me that your office is called Staff Intelligence to differentiate it from the Easic Intelligence group, the current intelligence group, and the global survey group; however, your report goes outside CIA, so we think you should not use the words "staff intelligence", as they are mentioned in NSCID 3 and defined.

In accordance with the act and MSCID 3, the only intelligence produced by CIA is national intelligence. Staff intelligence is used by the armed services as part of their departmental intelligence, but CIA practically never needs such intelligence. I suppose if you produced something for OSO, only, within CIA, that might be staff intelligence, but practically all of your production goes cutside CIA. Under the act and MSCID 3 everyone expects it to be national intelligence and if it has not been correlated with the pertinent IAC agencies, it should carry a metation to that effect.

PARY II

to readmitted before their concer

5. Furthermore, we think you should stick closely to ESCID 5, le (2)-(5) and to DCI 3/1, which you will recall! was issued at your request. Paragraph 3d and e cover urgent matters.

4. We note in your October 1 report that you call some of the urgent and special requests "intelligence memoranda," as epposed to "published reports." We think this is evading the issue, and that these intelligence memoranda are national intelligence estimates, if they are not national intelligence reports. You will note in paragraph 3d of DCI 3/1 that it was stated: "Central Intelligence Agency will, at the earliest opportunity, notify the departments that it is undertaking an urgent project." "Project" is spelled with a small "p". Also, you will note under paragraph 3e, that "most urgent reports and estimates," are spelled with small letters.

Subsequent tack with The Babbit inheater that where are Theme for, and at request of & I think he so Approved For Release 2003/07/30: CIA-RDP80R01731R003400070030-9

Approved For Releas 2003/07/30: CIA-RDP80R01731R003400070030-9:

- 5. The fact that you now call some of your production "intelligence memorands," instead of "estimates," does not relieve you of the responsibility for following the agreed inter-departmental procedure, which was, as you recall, set up at your request, to "grease the wheels of progress."
- distribution of seme of the things you produce. I should think you would have to follow section 3e of DCI 3/1, and that this should sertainly be done when the subject is within the dominant interest of a department, as cutlined at the end of MSCID 3. This provides for the free flow of information within the IAC agencies, which has been repeatedly stated in the MSCIDs.
- ment that the Joint Staff has requested you to produce certain things, without referring them to the State Department, even though the subject matter is within the dominant interest of that department. In such cases, if we followed the letter and spirit of the law and regulations. I should think the Joint Staff would be informed that it should obtain that information from the department concerned: i.e.; certainly the State Department knows more about the political situation, and the Navy Department more about a foreign fleet than any other sources in Washington. There are, of course, many less clear-cut cases, Nowever, if the Jeint Intelligence Group requests information from CIA, I think it expects to get the normal CIA information; i.e.; correlated intelligence from the different IAC agencies.

PRESCOTT CHILDS Chief, ICAPS

prise no objection to your informing State "at the earliest opportunity", coling when you start working on a subject, not ex post facto in a mentily report, the title of the item you are working on. Your client need not be mentioned, but merely the subject.